Attendance<br>President - Jim Maguire<br>Secretary - Greg Alms<br>Treasurer - Bryan Carmack<br>Park Representatives:<br>Clerbrook RV - Wayne Borass<br>Continental - Mike Dineen<br>Del Webb - Jim DeLucia<br>Hawthorne - Bill Bibler<br>Holiday Travel- Don Hartig<br>Hot Zone - Joe Burgess<br>Lakes at Leesburg - Jim Spaulding<br>Legacy - Paul Maddox<br>Pennbrooke - Don Droske<br>Plantation - Greg Alms<br>Recreation Plantation - Dick Wilette<br>Spruce Creek - Ron Hoppe representing Carmen DiCocco<br>Stonecrest - Walt Golebiewski<br>Summer Glen - Robert Merlano<br>Sunlake - Russ Couch<br>Water Oak - Mick Shouffer representing Frank Van Mol<br>Division Representatives<br>Division 2S Rep - Kevin Cheeks.<br>Division 2N Rep - Bob Falzone<br>Division 4 Rep - Jim Spaulding

Maryann Bubelnik, Webmaster, Dennis Johnson of Summer Glen, and Bob Dumas of Sun Lake (Manager Div. 3) were also present.

- The meeting was called to order by President Jim Maguire.
- Treasurer Bryan Carmack reported that we have $\$ 716.20$ in the checking account.
- The minutes of the March $3^{\text {rd }}$ meeting were approved.
- New Business
- The Executive Board (park reps) approved a motion to pay $\$ 500$ to the Webmaster, Maryann Bubelnik, for her services. Also as customary, the league awarded $\$ 50$ to the winning teams of the Divisions. The division winners were congratulated.

Division 2
Division 3
Division 4
Division 5
Division 6

Stonecrest - Talon Investments
Sun Lake
Plantation - Humana
Holiday Travel -Thermo Cool
Pennbrooke - Cebert Wealth Management

- Jim Delucia ran a successful Del Webb tournament despite the rain and thanked the Division host communities for their help.
- Bob Merlano stated that the first year Classic Tournament at Summer Glen was a lot of fun with six teams participating. The winner was Spruce Creek with Del Webb second. Pug Welch from Spruce Creek was the MVP for the Championship game. He was 3 for 3 with 2 doubles and a single. Pug is 79 years old. Summer Glen plans to host the tournament again next year.
- Jim Maguire explained the tie breaker rules used this year to determine the winner of Division 4 for which there was a three place tie. The first tie breaker was head to head competition. The second tie breaker was fewest runs allowed in head to head competition. For the Division four tie this year, the first two tie breakers were sufficient to determine first, second, and third places. The third tie breaker would have been a coin flip.
- A motion to use these three tie breakers for future ties was passed unanimously.
- Jim Maguire mentioned the recent Division 6 protest and resolution. Jim stated that the League will propose new rules for removing players from a game.
- Last year, the Hot Zone team was accepted in Division 1 for I year. A motion to continue for next season was approved.
- Clerbrook wants to add a new team for next year. Wayne Borst passed around a proposed roster with Alan Cramer as the manager. Wayne explained the situation around Alan Cramer's residency at Clerbrook which has been questioned in recent emails. Wayne explained that Alan Cramer has a house in the Plantation, and a member's permit at Clerbrook to hook up his trailer for two weeks at a time, and then the trailer must be moved. This process can be repeated. League Rule 2.7 states that:
- 2.7 Players living in a Member or Sister Community may not play with a team from another Member Community. Jim Maguire asked for motion to allow Alan Cramer to play for Clerbrook. The motion was made and seconded. The vote was 3 yes, 11 no, and 1 abstention. The motion was defeated.
- A second motion to place the new Clerbrook team in Division 2 and allow Clerbrook a sister community was tabled when a player on Clerbrook roster, who was present at the meeting, spoke up and stated that he had not agreed to play on the new Clerbrook team. Clerbrook was told to come back to the League with their roster and requests when they have their issues straightened out. Wayne stated that he will get another player and manager for Clerbrook.
- Divisional Alignment - Jim Maguire showed a chart (see attachment) showing the proposed divisional alignment that followed the rules that the board approved last meeting. Each community was asked to accept or propose a
different division for their teams. Several teams wanted special consideration.
- Pennbrooke - Don Droski explained that they plan to have 1 team and asked to play in division 6 and not move up to division 5. Granted.
- Sun Lake asked that their teams in division 3 and 6 be not moved up and remain in division 3 and 6. Granted.
- Holiday Travel wants their division 4 team to remain in division 5 and not move up. Denied.
- Hot Zone, Hawthorne, Lakes at Leesburg, Recreation Plantation and Plantation accepted the divisions proposed for their teams.
- Del Webb asked that Stonecrest take their division1 and division 3 teams and make two division 1 teams to provide competitive play in division 1. Stonecrest will consider this. Del Webb would also field only 2 division 1 teams next season.
- Continental asked to move their division 2 team to division 3. Granted.
- Summer Glen requested that their division 1 team move to division 2. Denied.
- Summer Glen asked to keep their division 4 team in division 4 due to two injured players who do not plan to return next season. Granted.
- Legacy's park representative, Paul Maddox stated that he could not put together a division 1 team due to lack of players willing to play in division 1. Paul said he could roster a division 2 team and asked to play in division 2. Granted.
- Water Oak asked to let their division 5 team remain in division 5. Granted.
- The final approval process will begin in our September meeting for final divisional alignments.
- Jim Maguire showed on this chart how the proposed changes affected the divisions. Several of the divisions now have odd number of teams. The final division alignment was left to the next meeting.

The next meeting is September 16, 2014 at Continental CC at 1:00PM.

Submitted by Greg Alms
League Secretary

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team | Winning Percentages | Divis[on | Team |  | Last <br> Season <br> Division |  |
| 512 | 808 | N | ST2 | 808 | N | 1 |
| H272 | 720 | 5 | H22 | 720 | 5 | 1 |
| DW2G | 692 | N | DW2G | 692 | N | 1 |
| DWV2L | 667 | 5 | DW2L | 667 | 5 | 1 |
| DWV2T | 577 | N | DW2T | 577 | N | 1 |
| LG2 | 520 | 5 | L- 2 | 520 | \$ | 1 |
| \$G2 | 500 | N | \$G2 | 500 | N | 1 |
| WO2 | 385 | N |  |  |  |  |
| H2 | 308 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| SC2 | 259 | N |  |  |  |  |
| CC2 | 80 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | WO2 | 385 | N | 2 |
|  |  | 2 | HTR | 308 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | 2 | SC2 | 259 | N | 2 |
|  |  | 2 | CC2 | 80 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | 2 | Hisk |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | 2 | Clerbraoke | n/a | New | 2. |
|  |  | 2 | Penbrooke | n/a | New | 2 |
|  |  |  | 513 | 567 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | SG3 | 556 | 3 | 3 |
| \$T3 | 667 | 3 | SC3 | 500 | 3 | 3 |
| SG3 | 556 | 3 | AP3 | 370 | 3 | 3 |
| 5 Ca | 500 | 3. |  |  |  | 3 |
| R.P3 | 370 | 3 | Sh\% |  |  | 3 |
| Div3 | 231 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
| WO3 | 74 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  | DW3 | 231 | 3 | 4 |
|  |  |  | WO3 | 74 | 3 | 4 |
| PL4B | 714. | 4 | PL4B | 714 | 4 | 4 |
| LL4 | 536 | 4 | LLA | 536 | 4 | 4 |
| HW4 | 464 | 4 | HW4 | 464 | 4 | 4 |
| DW4 | 333 | 4 | DW4 | 333 | 4 | 4 |
| LG4 | 259 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 5 T 4 | 250 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  |  | Penbraoke | n/a | Newr | 4 |
|  |  |  | L-G4 | 259 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  | ST4 | 250 | 4 | 5 |
| CB5 | 556 | 5 | CB5 | 556 | 5 | 5 |
| 555 | 517 | 5 | SG5 | 517 | 5 | 5 |
| SC5 | 393 | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |
| DW5 | 241 | 5 | Fige |  |  | 5 |
|  |  |  | SC5 | 393 | 5 | E |
|  |  |  | DW5 | 241 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 552 | 6 | PL6 | 552 | 6 | 6 |
| \$66 | 483 | 6 | \$56 | 483 | 6 | $\underline{6}$ |
| CC6 | 321 | 6 | CCE | 321 | 6 | 6 |
| HW6 | 276 | 6 | HW6 | 276 | 6 | 6 |

Jim Macguire's notes on the Divisional Alignment Based on April $8^{\text {th }}$ Meeting Updated meeting notes - Dated 4/15/14

I talked to Paul (Lagacy) - The votes was to deny Lagacy request to move out of division 1. As result they will not have their top team in S\&F next year.

- Division 2 will now only have 5 teams in the division.

Final approval process for the divisional alignment will begin in the September $16^{\text {th }}$ meeting before the season restart in November.
The draft divisional alignment had 10 teams moving down and 8 teams moving up. The results of the April $8^{\text {th }}$ meeting had 10 teams moving down and 4 teams moving up.
Division 1 - Started off with 7 teams and ended with 6 teams.
a. Legacy request to move down was granted, due to limited number of players willing to play division 1.
b. Summer Glen request to down was denied.
c. Stonecrest was requested to make 2 division 1 teams, since they have won the division the last three years. This will happen by bringing the division 3 team to division 1 and making two evenly divide teams.
d. Del Webb request to have only 2 teams in division 1 , with one team moving to division2 was granted.
Division 2 - Started off with 6 teams and ended with 6 teams.
a. Del Webb and Legacy moved down from the top division.
b. Sun Lake request not to move up to division 2 was granted.
c. Continental request to move to division 3 was granted.

Division 3 - Started off with 6 teams and ended with 7 teams.
a. Summer Glen request not to move up to division 3 was granted.
b. Del Webb division 3 team ask to stay in division 3.

Division 4 - Started off with 8 teams and ended with 7 teams.
a. Water Oak request not to move up to division 4 was granted.

Division 5 - Started off with 6 teams and ended with 6 teams.
a. Penbrooke request not to move up to division 5 was granted.
b. Sun Lake request not to move up to division 5 was granted.
c. Del Webb request to stay in division 5 was granted.
8. Division 6 - Started off with 6 teams and ended with 7 teams.

